

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,669	. 02/13/2002	Kevin E. Boyle	TRW(RG)5832	2678	
26294	7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			YEAGLEY, DANIEL S		
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND. OH 44114		11	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·,		3611		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_عد	·					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Advisory Action		10/075,669	BOYLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel Yeagley	3611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
There final r condition	REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) [-					
b) L	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extense 1.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);				
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.		
	NOTE:					
3.⊠	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): 112 rejection of claim 5	<u>3</u> .			
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.🖾	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-36 and 39-44</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 37 and 38.					
8.🖂	The drawing correction filed on <u>05 October 2004</u> is	s a)⊠ approved or b)□ disag	proved by the Exa	miner.		

LESLEY D. MORRIS
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PERVISORY CENTER 3600

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10/5/04.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art cited is still deemed readable of the claims as claimed.